Cite this: Org. Biomol. Chem., 2012, 10, 498

## COMMUNICATION

## Synthesis of oxindoles via visible light photoredox catalysis\*

Xuhui Ju,<sup>a</sup> Yan Liang,<sup>b</sup> Pingjing Jia,<sup>a</sup> Weifei Li<sup>a</sup> and Wei Yu\*<sup>a</sup>

Received 29th September 2011, Accepted 1st November 2011 DOI: 10.1039/c1ob06652h

2-Electron-withdrawing-group-substituted 2-bromoanilides can be converted to the corresponding 3,3-disubstituted oxindoles with high efficiency under visible light irradiation by using *fac*-Ir(ppy)<sub>3</sub> as the photoredox catalyst. This protocol is suitable for the synthesis of oxindoles with chloro and bromo atoms attached to the phenyl ring.

Substituted oxindoles have long been of synthetic interest due to their existence in many biologically active molecules as well as their usefulness as important synthetic building blocks.<sup>1</sup> One effective methodology to construct the substituted oxindole ring structure involves the intramolecular homolytic aromatic substitution of hydrogen on the phenyl ring by amidoalkyl radicals (Scheme 1).<sup>2</sup> The amidoalkyl radicals can be generated by (1) halogen atom or phenylseleno group abstraction;<sup>3,4</sup> (2) generation of an aryl radical from *o*-halo-anilides followed by 1,5-hydrogen atom translocation (1,5-HAT);<sup>5</sup> or (3) single-electron oxidation of anilides.<sup>6,7</sup> These methods have been employed to prepare a variety of 3,3-disubstituted oxindoles. Herein, we wish to report a new protocol for the synthesis of substituted oxindoles based on approach (1) in Scheme 1 and visible light photoredoxcatalysis (Scheme 2).



Photocatalysis has long been of interest to organic chemists due to its capacity to initiate organic reactions in a green and



Scheme 2

Table 1 Screening of the reaction conditions

	Br CO <sub>2</sub> E N Me 1a	t catalyst (2 mol %) additive visible light DMF, rt	Me CO <sub>2</sub> Et	
Entry	Catalyst	Additive (equiv.)	Conversion (%)	Yield (%) <sup>b</sup>
1	Ru(bpy) <sub>3</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	Et <sub>3</sub> N (2)	70	35
2	$Ru(bpy)_3Cl_2$	$^{i}Pr_{2}NEt$ (2)	100	60
3	Ru(bpy) <sub>3</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	$Ph_3N(2)$	17	14
4	Ru(bpy) <sub>3</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	none	<1	N.D. <sup>c</sup>
5	Ir(ppy) <sub>2</sub> (dtbpy)PF <sub>6</sub>	$Ph_{3}N(2)$	80	71
6	fac-(ppy) <sub>3</sub> Ir	2,6-lutidine (2)	100	95
7	fac-(ppy) <sub>3</sub> Ir	none	100	95
8	fac-(ppy) <sub>3</sub> Ir	none	$< l^{d}$	N.D. <sup>c</sup>
9	none	none	<1	N.D. <sup>c</sup>

<sup>*a*</sup> A solution of 0.12 mmol of **1a** and  $2.4 \times 10^{-3}$  mmol of catalyst in DMF (2.4 mL) was irradiated at room temperature under argon atmosphere for 12 h. <sup>*b*</sup> Isolated yield. <sup>*c*</sup> Not determined. <sup>*d*</sup> Control experiment without irradiation under the otherwise same conditions.

sustainable way.8 The recent employment of transitional metal photocatalysts such as Ru(bpy)32+, Ir(ppy)2(dtbpy)+ and fac-Ir(ppy)<sub>3</sub> etc. enables the photo-induced electron transfer/energy transfer process to take place at visible light wavelengths, and hence significantly broadens the scope of photochemical reactions.9 The studies by MacMillan et al.,10 Stephenson et al.,11 and Gagné et al.12 demonstrate that visible light photoredox catalysis constitutes a mild and efficient means to generate free radicals from activated carbon-halogen bonds. This method is advantageous compared with the commonly used Bu<sub>3</sub>SnH-based method in that the use of stoichiometric amounts of toxic reagents can be avoided. As a continuation of our interest in the synthesis of oxindoles,<sup>13</sup> we envisaged that this strategy could be applied to oxindole synthesis. As only the activated carbon-halogen bond would be cleaved under the reaction conditions, we hoped that substrates with a halogen atom attached to the phenyl ring could be tolerated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>State Key Laboratory of Applied Organic Chemistry, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, P. R. China. E-mail: yuwei@lzu.edu.cn; Fax: +86-931-8912582; Tel: +86-931-8912500

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>School of Petrochemical Engineering, Lanzhou University of Technology, Lanzhou, P. R. China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: General experimental procedure, characterization data, <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of compounds **1b–26b**. See DOI: 10.1039/c1ob06652h

Entry	Substrate	Product(s)	Yield (%) <sup>b</sup>	Entry	Substrate	Product	Yield (%) <sup>b</sup>
1	Br CO <sub>2</sub> Et		95	15	Br Br COMe	Br COMe Ne 15b	81
2	$ \bigcup_{\substack{Br \\ V \\ Bn \\ a}} Me \\ CO_2Et \\ CO_2Et \\ Bn \\ 2a$	Me CO <sub>2</sub> Et	88	16	$ \begin{array}{c}                                     $	Me COMe Me Me 16b	80
3	Me Br MeO2Et Ne 3a	Me CO2Et Ne 3b	95	17	Br COMe N COMe Me Me 17a	Me COMe ome Me 17b	93
4	$\overset{\text{MeO}}{\underset{Me}{\overset{\text{Br}}{\underset{Me}{\overset{\text{Me}}{\underset{Me}{\overset{\text{Me}}{\underset{Me}{\overset{\text{Me}}{\underset{Me}{\overset{\text{Me}}{\underset{Me}{\overset{\text{Me}}{\underset{Me}{\overset{\text{Me}}{\underset{Me}{\overset{\text{Me}}{\underset{Me}{\overset{\text{Me}}{\underset{Me}{\overset{\text{Me}}{\underset{Me}{\overset{Me}{\underset{Me}{\overset{Me}{\underset{Me}{\overset{Me}{\underset{Me}{\overset{Me}{\underset{Me}{\overset{Me}{\underset{Me}{\overset{Me}{\underset{Me}{\overset{Me}{\underset{Me}{\overset{Me}{\underset{Me}{\overset{Me}{\underset{Me}{\overset{Me}{\underset{Me}{\overset{Me}{\underset{Me}{\overset{Me}{\underset{Me}{\overset{Me}{\underset{Me}{\overset{Me}{\underset{Me}{\overset{Me}{\underset{Me}{\overset{Me}{\underset{Me}{\overset{Me}{\underset{Me}{\overset{Me}{\underset{Me}{\underset{Me}{\overset{Me}{\underset{Me}{\underset{Me}{\overset{Me}{\underset{Me}{\underset{Me}{\underset{Me}{\overset{Me}{\underset{M}{M$	MeO	94	18	Me COMe OMe Bn 18a	Me COMe OMe Bn 18b	81
5	CI Br HCO2Et N CO2Et Me 5a	Cl Me CO <sub>2</sub> Et Me 5b	93	19	Br Me 19a	Mixture <sup>c</sup>	_
6	Br CO <sub>2</sub> Et	CI Me 6b	80	20	Br N COMe	Br Bn 20b	28/70
7	$\substack{\text{Image in the set of the s$	Allyl CO2Et	98			Bn 20b'	
8	Meo Allyl Br CO2Et Bn 8a	MeO	85	21	Me Br HCOMe Bn 21a	Me COMe Me Bn 21b	27/64
9	Br Come Me 9a	Me COMe Me 9b	96			Me Me COMe N Do	
10	Br Hcome Bn 10a	Me COMe N Eo Bn 10b	98	22	Br Et COMe Ne 22a	Me 22b	86
11	Me Br Hcome Bn 11a	Me COMe Bn 11b	95	23	Br COMe Ne 23a	Bn COMe o Me 23b	87
12	MeO Br HCOMe Bn 12a	Meo Me COMe Bn 12b	98	24	Br CN Me 24a	Me CN Me 24b	98
13	F Br He COMe Bn 13a	F COMe N Bn 13b	96	25	Bn 25a	Bn 25b	89
14	CI BI HCOME N O Bn 14a	CI COMe Bn 14b	92	26	Br CN N CO Me 26a		92

**Table 2** Synthesis of 3,3-disubstituted oxindoles **b** from compounds  $\mathbf{a}^a$ 

<sup>*a*</sup> Reaction conditions: a mixture of 0.12 mmol of **a** and  $2.4 \times 10^{-3}$  mmol of *fac*-Ir(ppy)<sub>3</sub> dissolved in 2.4 mL DMF under argon atmosphere was irradiated with a 40 W household fluorescent lamp at room temperature for 12–16 h. <sup>*b*</sup> Isolated yield. <sup>*c*</sup> Pure product not obtained.

We commenced our study by subjecting compound 1a to various irradiation conditions. Three commonly used transitional metal photocatalysts,  $Ru(bpy)_3Cl_2$ ,  $Ir(ppy)_2(dtbpy)PF_6$  and *fac*-Ir(ppy)\_3, were chosen to initiate the reaction, and a 40 W household fluorescent lamp was used as the light source. The results are summarized in Table 1. All these three complexes were capable of effecting the reactions, but *fac*-Ir(ppy)<sub>3</sub> was found to be the most effective. With 2 mol% of fac-Ir(ppy)<sub>3</sub> as the photocatalyst, the reaction was complete in 12 h, giving rise to the desired product 1b in excellent yield (Table 1, entries 6 and 7). It should be noted that the *fac*-Ir(ppy)<sub>3</sub>-mediated reaction process does not need tertiary amines as a sacrificial reductant, as fac-Ir(ppy)<sub>3</sub>\* itself ( $E_{1/2}$  = -1.73V, SCE in CH<sub>3</sub>CN)<sup>10c</sup> can fulfil the reducing task. In addition, the reaction proceeded equally well in the presence or absence of 2,6-lutidine, indicating that the hydrogen bromide formed during the reaction does not influence the catalytic process.

A variety of 3.3-disubstituted oxindoles was then prepared by employing this photoredox protocol. The results are listed in Table 2. The yields were generally high for substrates incorporating an electron-withdrawing group at the  $\alpha$ -position, which is a prerequisite for the reaction to take place. It is noteworthy that 3-acetyl substituted oxindoles can be synthesized with high efficiency. These compounds are relatively unstable compared with their 3-ethylcarboxyl and cyano counterparts, and therefore the copper-catalyzed direct oxidative coupling<sup>7</sup> (approach (3), Scheme 1) is not suitable for their preparation. Although the oxidative coupling can be achieved by using Ag<sub>2</sub>O as the oxidant, the yields were not satisfactory, and a stoichiometric amount of Ag<sub>2</sub>O had to be used.<sup>13a</sup> This obstacle can be overcome by using the mild photochemical procedure. In addition, this protocol is tolerant of substrates containing a bromo atom on the phenyl ring (Table 2, entries 15 and 20), which is liable to loss with conventional free radical methods. However, when the substrate was 19a, the reaction became complicated, and we failed to obtain the expected oxindole product in pure form (Table 2, entry 19). It is interesting to see that when compounds 20a and 21a were used as the substrates, the sterically more hindered 20b' and 21b' were obtained as the major products (Table 2, entries 20 and 21).

This protocol was also applied to effecting the reaction of compound  $\mathbf{c}$ . However, we failed to obtain the corresponding 3-acetyl oxindole product from  $\mathbf{c}$  (Scheme 3).



A possible mechanism was proposed to rationalize the *fac*-Ir(ppy)<sub>3</sub>-catalyzed photochemical synthesis of 3,3-disubstituted oxindoles (Scheme 4). The reaction is initiated by the single electron transfer between the visible light-excited *fac*-Ir(ppy)<sub>3</sub> and substrate **a**, which leads to the formation of the  $\alpha$ -carbamoyl radical **d** and the oxidation of *fac*-Ir(ppy)<sub>3</sub>\* to *fac*-Ir(ppy)<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>. Cyclisation of **d** results in the formation of **e**. The latter is converted to product **b** *via* single electron oxidation by *fac*-Ir(ppy)<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> and subsequent deprotonation, with *fac*-Ir(ppy)<sub>3</sub> being regenerated



at the same time. In the cases of 20a and 21a, the unusual regioselectivity suggests that the electronic effect of the bromo or methyl group plays a predominant role in directing the free radical attack on the phenyl ring. Similar *ortho* selectivity has been observed before in studies concerning the addition of aryl radicals to arenes.<sup>14</sup>

In summary, an efficient protocol based on fac-Ir(ppy)<sub>3</sub>mediated visible light photoredox catalysis has been developed for the synthesis of 3,3-disubstituted oxindoles from 2electron-withdrawing-group-substituted 2-bromo-anilides. This procedure is advantageous in terms of high yield, mildness of reaction conditions and tolerance of functional groups.

## Acknowledgements

The authors thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 20772053) for financial support.

## Notes and references

- 1 For recent reviews on oxindole synthesis, see: (a) C. Marti and E. M. Carreira, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2003, 2209; (b) C. V. Galliford and K. A. Scheidt, *Angew. Chem.*, *Int. Ed.*, 2007, 46, 8748; (c) F. Zhou, Y.-L. Liu and J. Zhou, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2010, 352, 1381.
- 2 For reviews, see: (a) W. R. Bowman and J. M. D. Storey, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2007, **36**, 1803; (b) G. J. Rowlands, *Tetrahedron*, 2009, **65**, 8603; (c) G. J. Rowlands, *Tetrahedron*, 2010, **66**, 1593.
- 3 J. A. Murphy, R. Tripoli, T. A. Khan and U. W. Mali, *Org. Lett.*, 2005, **7**, 3287.
- 4 T. Nishio, K. Iseki, N. Araki and T. Miyazaki, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 2005, **88**, 35.
- 5 A. L. J. Beckwith, V. W. Bowry, W. R. Bowman, E. Mann, J. Parr and J. M. D. Storey, *Angew. Chem.*, *Int. Ed.*, 2004, **43**, 95.
- 6 Y.-X. Jia and E. P. Kündig, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 1636.
- 7 (a) A. Perry and R. J. K. Taylor, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 3249; (b) J. E. M. N. Klein, A. Perry, D. S. Pugh and R. J. K. Taylor, *Org. Lett.*, 2010, 12, 3446.
- 8 M. Fagnoni, D. Dondi, D. Ravelli and A. Albini, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, 107, 2725; N. Hoffmann, *Chem. Rev.*, 2008, 108, 1052.
- 9 For reviews, see: (a) T. P. Yoon, M. A. Ischay and Juana Du, Nat. Chem., 2010, 527; (b) J. M. R. Narayanam and C. R. J. Stephenson, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2011, 40, 102.
- 10 (a) D. A. Nicewicz and D. W. C. MacMillan, *Science*, 2008, **322**, 77; (b) D. A. Nagib, M. E. Scott and D. W. C. MacMillan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 10875; (c) H.-W. Shih, M. N. Vander Wal, R. L. Grange and D. W. C. MacMillan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 13600.
- 11 (a) J. W. Tucker, J. M. R. Narayanam, S. W. Krabbe and C. R. J. Stephenson, Org. Lett., 2010, 12, 368; (b) L. Furst, B. S. Matsuura,

J. M. R. Narayanam, J. W. Tucker and C. R. J. Stephenson, Org. Lett., 2010, 12, 3104; (c) J. W. Tucker, J. D. Nguyen, J. M. R. Narayanam,
S. W. Krabbe and C. R. J. Stephenson, Chem. Commun., 2010, 46, 4985; (d) J. D. Nguyen, J. W. Tucker, M. D. Konieczynska and C. R. J. Stephenson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 4160; (e) J. W. Tucker and C. R. J. Stephenson, Org. Lett., 2011, 13, 5468.

- 12 R. S. Andrews, J. J. Becker and M. R. Gagné, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 7274.
- 13 (a) Z. Yu, L. Ma and W. Yu, Synlett, 2010, 2607; (b) Z. Yu, X. Ju, J. Wang and W. Yu, Synthesis, 2011, 860.
- 14 D. Crich and M Patel, *Tetrahedron*, 2006, **62**, 7824.